NO.195926

**IMM-NYU** 254 **FEBRUARY** 1959



1 MM-251

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

# Note on the Central Limit Theorem

HAROLD N. SHAPIRO

PREPARED UNDER CONTRACT NO. NONR-285(38) WITH THE OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH UNITED STATES NAVY

REPRODUCIÓN IN MUDIL OR AN EX IS PERMETANA A DESTRICTARIA DE THE UNITED SECTES O A EXEMPTION

New York University Institute of Mathematical Sciences

### NOTE ON THE CENTRAL LIMIT THEOREM Harold N. Shapiro

Prepared under the auspices of Contract Nonr-285(38) with the Office of Naval Research, United States Navy.

New York 1959

## 100 BE - 2010 - 2010

### .

by Harold N. Shapiro

§1. Introduction. The well-known theorem of Lindeberg asserts that for a sequence  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  of mutually independent random variables such that the mean of each  $X_k$  is 0, and each  $X_k$  has finite variance, in order that

(1.1) 
$$F_n^*(s_n x) \longrightarrow \overline{Q}(x)$$
 for all x;

and

(1.2) 
$$F_k(s_n x) \longrightarrow \varepsilon(x)$$
 for all  $x \neq 0$ ,

uniformly in k, for  $1 \le k \le n$ ; (here  $\overline{\Phi}(\mathbf{x})$  is the standard normal distribution with mean 0 and variance 1;  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{x})$  is the unit distribution with mean zero and variance 0;  $F_k(\mathbf{x})$  is the distribution function of  $X_k$ ,  $F_n^*(\mathbf{x})$  is the distribution function of  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , and  $s_n^2$  is the variance of  $S_n$ ) it is necessary and sufficient that for every  $\frac{1}{7} > 0$ ,

(1.3) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left| x \right| \le \sqrt[n]{s_n} x^2 dF_k = 1.$$

The condition (1.3) is usually referred to as the "Lindeberg condition".

In the book of Gnedenko and Kolmogoroff, Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables, (p. 5), it is implied that the condition

$$(1.4) \qquad \sum_{k \leq n} P(|X_k| > \gamma s_n) \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

. .

····

· . . . . .

- <sub>1</sub>. 4 000.

which is clearly a consequence of (1.3), is in fact equivalent to (1.3), in the setting given above. This (as we shall show) is not true, and the question arises as to just what is implied by (1.4) concerning the possible limit distributions of the partial sums. We propose to answer this question in this note.

We call G(x) an accumulation d.f. if for some sequence  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ , the normalized partial sums  $S_{n_i}$  have d.f.'s which converge to G(x). The following is the theorem which will be provided in answer to the question raised above.

<u>Theorem</u>: If we assume that  $s_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and that (1.4) holds for all 7 > 0, then the accumulation distributions of the partial sums form a one parameter family of normal distributions. This parameter is the variance of the accumulation distribution, and ranges over a closed subinterval of [0,1]. This closed subinterval is in fact the set of limit points of L(n).<sup>\*</sup>

An example will be given in which the parameter range is the entire interval [0,1]. Similar examples may be constructed in which the parameter range is any given closed subinterval of [0,1].

82. <u>Proof of the Theorem</u>. The assumption of (1.4) for every 7 > 0 is equivalent to asserting the existence of a function 7 (n) such that

(2.1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \gamma(n) = 0$$

and

(2.2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k \le n} P(|X_k| > \gamma(n)s_n) = 0$$

\* L(n) is defined on p. 3.

· · · ·

, • • 

. ,

.

· · ·

.

It is in the form (2.2) that the hypothesis will be applied.

Let G(x) be an accumulation d.f. of the normalized partial sums  $S_n/s_n$ , and choose the corresponding subsequence  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$  so that in addition for the function

$$L(n) = \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k \le n} \int x^2 dF_k x^2 dF_k$$

1

we have

(2.3) 
$$L(n_1) \rightarrow a$$
, where  $0 \le a \le 1$ 

For 
$$k \leq n_i$$
 introduce  
(2.4)  $X'_k = \begin{cases} X_k \text{ if } |X_k| \leq 7(n_i)s_{n_i}; \\ 0 \text{ otherwise}; \end{cases}$ 

and write

$$s'_{n_{1}} = x'_{1} + \dots + x'_{n_{1}}$$

Letting  $\mu_k^{\, \textbf{i}}$  denote the mean of  $\textbf{X}_k^{\, \textbf{i}}$  we have

(2.5) 
$$s_{n_{\underline{i}}}^{\dagger 2} = var (S_{n_{\underline{i}}}^{\dagger}) = \sum_{k \le n_{\underline{i}}} \int x^{2} dF_{k} - \sum_{k \le n_{\underline{i}}} \mu_{k}^{\dagger 2}$$

For  $k \leq n_1$ , we have

$$\mu_{k}^{\dagger} = \left| \begin{array}{c} x dF_{k} \\ |x| \leq \frac{1}{2} (n_{i}) s_{n_{i}} \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{c} x dF_{k} \\ |x| > \frac{1}{2} (n_{i}) s_{n_{i}} \end{array} \right|,$$

so that by Schwarz's inequality

(2.6) 
$$|\mu_{k}|^{2} \leq P(|X_{k}| > h(n_{1})s_{n_{1}}) \int x^{2}dF_{k}$$
.

Summing (2.6) over all  $k \leq n_i$  then yields

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}} \mu_{k}^{i2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}} \mathbb{P}(|X_{k}| > \gamma(n_{i})s_{n_{i}}) \int_{|x| > \gamma(n_{i})s_{n_{i}}} x^{2}dF_{k}$$

$$\leq \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}} \mathbb{P}(|X_{k}| > \gamma(n_{i})s_{n_{i}}) \right] s_{n_{i}}^{2},$$

so that

(2.7) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_1} \mu_k^{\prime 2} = o(s_{n_1}^2)$$

Note also that we have

$$|\mu_{k}^{i}| \leq \sqrt{P(|X_{k}| > ?(n_{i})s_{n_{i}})} \sqrt{\int x^{2} dF_{k}} ,$$

so that

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}} |\mu_{k}'|}{\sum_{k=1}^{k} P(|X_{k}| > \eta(n_{i})s_{n_{i}})} \int_{k=1}^{h_{i}} \int_{|x| > \eta(n_{i})s_{n_{i}}} x^{2} dF_{k}$$

$$\leq o(s_{n_{i}}),$$

and hence

(2.8) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n_{i}} \mu'_{k} = o(s_{n_{i}})$$
.

From (2.3), (2.5) and (2.7) we obtain that (2.9)  $\frac{s_{n_i}^{'2}}{s_{n_i}^{2}} \rightarrow \alpha \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Using (2.3) again this implies

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{1}{s_{n_{i}}^{!2}} \sum_{k \leq n_{i}} \int x^{2} dF_{k} \longrightarrow 1 ,$$

$$|x| \leq (\frac{\gamma(n)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}) s_{n_{i}}^{!}$$

• •

**x** 

•••• • • •

.

.

· 

as  $n_i \longrightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $F'_k$  denote the d.f. of  $X'_k$ , (2.10) and (2.2) yield that  $\overset{*}{\sim}$ 

$$(2.11) \quad \frac{1}{s_{n_{i}}^{\prime 2}} \sum_{k \leq n_{i}} \int_{|x| \leq (\frac{j(n)}{\sqrt{\alpha}}) s_{n_{i}}^{\prime}} x^{2} dF_{k}^{\prime} \longrightarrow 1,$$

as  $n_i \longrightarrow \infty$ . Hence from a slight extension (well known) of the Lindeberg theorem it follows that

(2.12) 
$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{s_{n_{1}}^{\prime}-\frac{n_{1}}{\sum}\mu_{k}^{\prime}}{s_{n_{1}}^{\prime}}<\omega\right) \longrightarrow \overline{\Phi}(\omega) .$$

But from (2.8) and (2.9) this in turn implies

(2.13) 
$$P\left(\frac{S_{n_{i}}^{\prime}}{s_{n_{i}}^{\prime}} < \omega\right) \longrightarrow \overline{\Phi}(\omega)$$

as  $n_i \longrightarrow \infty$ . Since  $s_{n_i}^i \sim \sqrt{\alpha} s_{n_i}^n$  it follows that

(2.14) 
$$P\left(\frac{s_{n_{i}}}{s_{n_{i}}} < \omega\right) \longrightarrow \overline{\varrho}(\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\alpha}})$$
.

Finally, from (2.2)

$$P(S'_{n_{i}} \neq S_{n_{i}}) \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_{i} \longrightarrow \infty$$
,

so that we obtain from (2.14) that

<sup>\*</sup> The argument as it proceeds here is valid only for a > 0. The validity of the final assertion in the case a = 0 is easily verified directly (see Example I of \$3).

(2.15) 
$$P\left(\frac{s_{n_{i}}}{s_{n_{i}}} < \omega\right) \longrightarrow \overline{\Phi}(\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\alpha}})$$

as  $n_1 \longrightarrow \infty$ , where  $\overline{\Phi}(\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{\alpha}})$  is the normal distribution function with mean 0 and variance a.

Thus under the assumption of (2.2) all accumulation distribtuions, of the normalized partial sums, will be normal. Furthermore, it is easily shown that these accumulation distributions are in 1-1 correspondence with the limit points of L(n), where for a such a limit point  $\overline{\Phi}(\frac{i\omega}{\sqrt{a}})$  is the corresponding accumulation d.f. In particular, this shows that the limit points of L(n) are independent of the particular choice of  $\gamma(\mathbf{x})$ used in defining L(n), so long as (2.2) is satisfied.

We next proceed to the consideration of the implications of (2.2) with respect to the range of the parameter a introduced above.

Lemma 2.1. Assuming (2.2) we have

(2.16) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{s_n^2}{s_{n+1}^2} L(n) - L(n+1) \right\} = 0 .$$

Proof:

$$\frac{s_{n}^{2}}{s_{n+1}^{2}} L(n) = \frac{1}{s_{n+1}^{2}} \sum_{k \le n} \int x^{2} dF_{k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{s_{n+1}^{2}} \sum_{k \le n+1} \int x^{2} dF_{k} - \frac{1}{s_{n+1}^{2}} \int x^{2} dF_{n+1}$$

$$|x| \le (n+1)s_{n+1}$$

$$- \frac{1}{s_{n+1}^{2}} \sum_{k \le n} \int x^{2} dF_{k}$$

$$x^{2} dF_{k}$$

.

.

--

$$= L(n+1) + O(\gamma^{2}(n+1)) + O(\sum_{k \le n} P(|X_{k}| > \gamma(n)s_{n}))$$
  
= L(n+1) + O(1) • geed•

We are now in a position to complete the proof of the theorem, by proving

Lemma 2.2. Under the assumption of (2.2), the set of limit points of L(n) fill out a single closed subinterval of [0,1]. <u>Proof</u>: Let  $\underline{c} = \underline{\lim} L(n)$ ,  $\overline{c} = \overline{\lim} L(n)$ , and consider any a,  $\underline{c} < a < \overline{c}$ . Suppose the lemma false, so that a is not a limit point of L(n). Then there is an interval about a devoid of values of L(n). Suppose this interval is [a,b], b > a. Clearly, however, there are infinitely many L(n) in each of the intervals [ $\underline{c}$ ,a], [b, $\overline{c}$ ]. Choose a sequence  $n_i \longrightarrow \infty$  such that

$$L(n_i) \leq a$$
,  $L(n_i+1) \geq b$ .

Then

$$\frac{s_{n_{i}}^{2}}{\sum_{s_{n_{i}}+1}^{2} L(n_{i}) - L(n_{i}+1) \le a-b < 0},$$

which contradicts (2.16), and completes the proof of the lemma.

We note in passing that a converse of the theorem stated in the introduction may be easily established. This converse is to the effect that if (1.2) holds and all accumulation distributions of the partial sums are normal, then (1.4) must hold.

§3. Examples. We now provide some illustrative examples which serve to establish that (1.4) does not imply the Lindeberg condition.

· · · · · · ·

. . . . .

.

. , • • •

Example I: This will be an example in which (1.4) holds, and the parameter interval of the theorem is simply the point O. That is, the limit distribution of the normalized partial sums is the unit function.

Choose  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , and define  $\lambda_n > 0$  recursively via

(3.1) 
$$\lambda_n^2 = (n+1)^3 \sum_{k \le n-1} \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \lambda_k^2$$
.

Consider mutually independent random variables such that  $X_k$  has the d.f.  $F_k(x)$  given by

$$(3.2) F_k(x) = \begin{cases} 0 for x \le -\lambda_k \frac{k}{k+1} \\ \frac{1}{k+1} for -\lambda_k \frac{k}{k+1} < x \le \frac{\lambda_k}{k+1} \\ 1 for x > \frac{\lambda_k}{k+1} \\ \end{cases},$$

Note then that

(1) the mean of 
$$F_k$$
 is  $\mu_k = 0$ ,  
(2) the variance of  $F_k$  is  $\sigma_k^2 = \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \lambda_k^2$ ,  
(3) for  $s_n^2 = \sum_{k \le n} \sigma_k^2$  we have  $s_1 = \sigma_1 = 1$  so that  $s_n^2 \ge 1$ .  
addition, from (3.1) we have

,

In

$$\lambda_n^2 = (n+1)^3 s_{n-1}^2 \ge (n+1)^3$$

or

$$\lambda_n \ge (n+1)^{3/2}$$
,

so that  $\lambda_n \longrightarrow \infty$  and hence also  $s_n \longrightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore,

• : • .

•

$$s_{n}^{2} = \frac{n}{(n+1)^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2} + s_{n-1}^{2} = [n(n+1)+1]s_{n-1}^{2}$$
  
$$\sim n(n+1)s_{n-1}^{2} = \frac{n}{(n+1)^{2}} \lambda_{n}^{2},$$

so that

(3.3) 
$$\lambda_n \sim \frac{n+1}{\sqrt{n}} s_n$$
, (or  $s_n \sim \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n+1} \lambda_n$ ).

(4) From (3.1) we note also that for  $k \leq n-1$ 

$$\frac{\lambda_{k}k}{k+1} = \frac{\lambda_{k}/k}{k+1} / k \leq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{(n+1)^{3/2}} / k \sim / \frac{k}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)^{1/2}} s_{n} = o(s_{n}).$$

Hence, also, uniformly for  $k \leq n-1$ ,

$$\frac{\lambda_{k}}{k+1} = o(s_{n}) .$$
(5) 
$$\frac{\lambda_{n}}{n+1} \sim \frac{s_{n}}{\sqrt{n}} = o(s_{n}) \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty .$$
(6) 
$$s_{n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n+1} \lambda_{n} = o(\frac{n}{n+1} \lambda_{n}) .$$

Using (4), (5), and (6), we see that for any fixed 7 > 0, for n sufficiently large,

$$\sum_{k \le n} P(|X_k| > \gamma s_n) = P(|X_n| > \gamma s_n) = \frac{1}{n+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , so that (1.4) is satisfied.

On the other hand,

$$\frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k \le n} \int x^2 dF_k = \frac{1}{s_n^2} \int x^2 dF_n$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{s_n^2} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \lambda_n^2 \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2} \cdot \frac{\lambda_n^2 n}{(n+1)^2 s_n^2} \longrightarrow 1$ 

,

. .

. ....

·\* •

÷ .

. /

· · · • •

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , (by 3.3). Thus, certainly,

$$L(n) = \frac{1}{s_n^2} \sum_{k \le n} \int x^2 dF_k \longrightarrow 0 ,$$

$$|x| \le \gamma(n) s_n$$

for any  $\gamma = \gamma(n)$  which tends to zero as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ .

From this it follows easily that  $\varepsilon(x)$  (the unit function) is the limit distribution of  $S_n/s_n$ . In fact for any fixed  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$(3.4) \qquad P\left(\left|\frac{S_{n}}{S_{n}}\right| > \delta\right) \leq \sum_{k \leq n} P\left(\left|X_{k}\right| > \gamma s_{n}\right) + \frac{1}{\delta_{n}^{2}} \sum_{k \leq n} \int_{|x| \leq \gamma} x^{2} dF_{k} ,$$

where the first sum on the right is a truncation error, and the second term is by Tchebychef's inequality. Thus we see that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_{n}}{S_{n}}\right| > \delta\right) \longrightarrow 0$$

as n  $\longrightarrow \infty$ , which gives the desired result.

Example II. We next give an example in which the parameter interval of the theorem is the entire closed interval [0,1]. By virtue of the theorem itself, in order to achieve this, it suffices to give an example in which both the unit function and the standard normal are accumulation distribution functions.

Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be the sequence of random variables constructed in Example I, which are such that the d.f. of the normalized partial sums tends to  $\varepsilon(x)$ . In addition, let  $Y_1, Y_2, \cdots$  be an infinite sequence of random variables such that the d.f. of the normalized partial sums tends to the standard normal, and on which the Lindeberg condition holds.<sup>\*</sup> This last convergence is, as is well known, uniform. \* For convenience we take a simple case of Bernoulli variables, so that the variance of the n<sup>th</sup> partial sum is n.

۰ . ۱

÷ · ·

• 

. • • 

For  $S_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$ , it follows from (3.4) that given any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists an  $n_0 = n_0(\delta)$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ , and all x such that  $|x| \ge \delta$ ,

$$|F_n^*(x) - \varepsilon(x)| \le \delta ,$$

where  $F_n^*(x)$  is the d.f. of  $S_n/s_n$ . Recalling that we have individual negligibility, i.e. (1.2) holds, we can choose blocks of the variables of the  $X_k$  sequence

$$B_{k} : \left( X_{t_{k-1}+1}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}} \right)$$

such that

(3.5) 
$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} d.f. \text{ of } \frac{X_{t_{k-1}+1}^{+1}+\cdots+X_{t_{k}}}{s_{t_{k}}} \right| (x) - \varepsilon(x) < \frac{1}{k} \\ \end{cases} \right| < \frac{1}{k}$$

for  $|\omega| \ge \frac{1}{k}$ ; (recall that  $s_{t_{k-1}} = o(s_{t_k})$ ).

Letting  $\hat{s}_n^2$  = the variance of the n<sup>th</sup> partial sum of the Y<sub>j</sub> sequence, since the convergence of the d.f.'s of the normalized partial sums to  $\overline{\Phi}(x)$  is uniform, we can choose blocks

$$\beta_{k} : \left( \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}_{k-1}+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{Y}_{\mathbf{r}_{k}} \right)$$

such that

(3.6) 
$$\left| \begin{bmatrix} d \cdot f \cdot of \frac{Y_{r_{k-1}+1}+\cdots+Y_{r_{k}}}{s_{r_{k}}} \end{bmatrix} (x) - \overline{Q}(x) \right| < \frac{1}{k} ,$$

for all x. These blocks are also chosen so that

(3.7) 
$$\hat{s}_{r_{k-1}} + s_{t_{k}} = o(\hat{s}_{r_{k}})$$
.

. .

-... ,

· · ·

Now form the sequence of random variables consisting of both sequences  $\{X_i\}$  and  $\{Y_j\}$  in the order described by the blocks:  $\{B_1, B_1, B_2, B_2, \dots, B_k, B_k, \dots\} = \{Z_1, Z_2, \dots\}$ .

We next verify that the condition (1.4) is satisfied by the sequence  $\{Z_j\}$ . Let  $\overline{s}_n^2$  denote the variance of the n<sup>th</sup> partial sum of the  $Z_j$ ; and define  $k^* = k^*(n)$  to be the largest integer k such that  $t_k \leq n$ . Then, if  $Z_n$  is a  $Y_j$ , i.e.  $Z_n = Y_r$ , we have

$$\frac{\sum_{j \leq n} P(|Z_j| \geq \gamma s_n) \leq \sum_{i \leq t_k^*} P(|X_i| \geq \gamma s_t_k^*) + \sum_{k \leq \tau} P(|Y_k| \geq \gamma s_{\tau}) \cdot$$

Since, as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ ,  $t_{k^*} \longrightarrow \infty$  and  $\gamma \longrightarrow \infty$ , both sums on the right tend to zero and (1.4) is verified in this case. A similar argument applies for the case where  $Z_n$  is an  $X_i$ .

Let  $\overline{S}_{n_{j}}$  be the subsequence of partial sums of the  $Z_{j}$  corresponding to stopping at the end of the  $\beta_{k}$  blocks. Then, as a consequence of (3.7), we have

$$P\left(\frac{\overline{S}_{n_{i}}}{\overline{s}_{n_{i}}} < x\right) = P\left(\frac{Y_{r_{k-1}+1}+\cdots+Y_{r_{k}}}{\overline{s}_{n_{i}}} < x\right) + o(1),$$

and combining this with (3.6) yields

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_{n_{i}}}{\overline{S}_{n_{i}}} < x\right) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(x)$$

as  $n_i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\overline{S}_{n_j}$  be the subsequence of partial sums of the  $Z_j$  corresponding to stopping at the end of the  $B_k$  blocks. Then by arguments similar to those given above it is easily shown that

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\frac{\overset{S_{n_{\underline{i}}}}{\underline{s}_{n_{\underline{i}}}} < x\right) \longrightarrow \varepsilon(x) .$$

•

• • • • • • .

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS

Address	No. of Copies	Address	No. of Copies
Head, Statistics Branch Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	3	Prof. I. R. Savage School of Business Admin. University of Minnesota Minneapolis. Minnesota	1
Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Navy 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York	2	Prof. Oscar Kempthorne Statistics Laboratory Iowa State College Ames, Iowa	1
ASTIA Document Service Cr. Arlington Hall Sta. Arlington 12, Virginia	ntr. 5	Dr. Carl F. Kossack Statistics Laboratory Engineering Admin. Buildin Purdue University	1 ng
Diffice of Techn. Services Department of Commerce Washington 25, D. C.	з Т	Lafayette, Indiana Prof. Gerald J. Lieberman	ı
Techn. Informa. Officer Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25. D. C.	6	Appl. Math. & Stat. Lab. Stanford University Stanford, California	_
Prof. T. W. Anderson Dept. of Math. Statistics Columbia University New York 27. New York	1	Prof. William G. Madow Department of Statistics Stanford University Stanford, California	1
Prof. Z. W. Birnbaum Lab. of Stat. Research Dept. of Mathematics University of Washington	l	Prof. J. Neyman Department of Statistics University of California Berkeley 4, California	l
Seattle 5, Washington Prof. Ralph A. Bradley Dept. of Stat. ¢ Stat. La Virginia Polytechnic Inst	1 ab.	Prof. Herbert Robbins Math. Statistics Departmer Columbia University New York 27, New York	l it
Blacksburg, Virginia Prof. Herman Chernoff Appl. Math. & Stat. Lab.	l	Prof. Murray Rosenblatt Dept. of Mathematics Indiana University Bloomington. Indiana	l
Stanford University Stanford, California Prof. W. G. Cochran Dept. of Statistics	1	Prof. L. J. Savage Statistical Res. Laborator Chicago University Chicago 37, Illinois	l 'y
Cambridge, Massachusetts Prof. Benjamin Epstein Appl. Math. & Stat. Lab.	1	Prof. Frank Spitzer Dept. of Mathematics University of Minnesota Minneapolis, Minnesota	1
Stanford, California Prof. Harold Hotelling Associate Director Institute of Statistics Univ. of North Carolina Chapel Hill, North Carol:	l ina	Prof. S. S. Wilks Dept. of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton, New Jersey	1

\*

-

• • • • • • • • • • • • • •

. . .

• • • • • • •

. . .

# ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰۰ ۱۰۰۰ ۱۰۰۰ ۱۰۰۰

• . . . BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST FOR UNCLASSIFIED TECHNICAL REPORTS (cont.)

	No. of
Address	<u>copies</u>

Prof. Gertrude Cox 1 Institute of Statistics State College Section North Carolina State College Raleigh, North Carolina

Prof. J. Wolfowitz 1 Department of Mathematics Cornell University Ithaca, New York

		Date Due				
MAR 2 643						
1						
			1 n n ni			
•			•			
٠			•			
•						
۲ =			•			
			•			
8	PRINTEL	IN U.S.A	1			

Data Dua

• •

а • • • •

NYU ILM-254  $\sim$ ---- H N. e.l ara tr Ing-254 Suppina II -ITYU Ilin-254 c. 1 Snapiro, H. N. Note on the central limit theorem.

MAR 2 1 Mer Hotoma Hall the the and ann à n telin Stei  $\mathcal{Q} \vdash i$ CC14

N. Y. U. Institute of Mathematical Sciences 25 Waverly Place New York 3, N. Y.

.